

CS 4530: Fundamentals of Software Engineering

Module 03 Best Practices for Effective Programmers

Adeel Bhutta, Mitch Wand

Khoury College of Computer Sciences

Learning Objectives for this Lesson

- By the end of this lesson you should be able to:
 - Describe the purpose of our best practices
 - List 5 principles for designing readable code, with examples
 - Identify some violations of the practices and suggest ways to mitigate them

Use Design As a Way of Communicating Organization

- Software systems must be comprehensible by humans
- Which humans?
 - The other members of your team
 - The folks who will maintain and modify your system
 - Management
 - Your clients
 - and ...
 - You, a week from now or 6 weeks from now

Use Design to Control Complexity

- Software systems must be comprehensible by humans
- Why? Software needs to be maintainable
 - continuously adapted to a changing environment
 - Maintenance takes 50–80% of the cost
- Why? Software needs to be reusable
 - Economics: cheaper to reuse than rewrite!

Three Scales of Design

The Structural Scale

- key questions: what are the pieces? how do they fit together to form a coherent whole?

The Interaction Scale

- key questions: how do the pieces interact? how are they related?

The Code Scale

- key question: how can I make the actual code easy to test, understand, and modify?

Today's topic: design principles at the code scale

The Structural Scale

- key questions: what are the pieces? how do they fit together to form a coherent whole?

The Interaction Scale

- key questions: how do the pieces interact? how are they related?

The Code Scale

- key question: how can I make the actual code easy to test, understand, and modify?

Coupling is the biggest source of complexity at the code level

- Two pieces of code are *coupled* if a change in one demands a change in the other.
- A coupling represents an agreement between the two pieces of code.
 - They may agree on:
 - names
 - order (e.g. of arguments)
 - meaning (e.g. meaning of data)
 - algorithms
- The more two pieces of code are coupled, the harder they are to understand and modify: you have to understand both to understand either of them.

There's a fancy word for this:
CONNASCENCE
(meaning "born together")

More coupling means less readability, less modifiability

Five general-purpose design principles

Five General Principles

1. Use Good Names
2. Make Your Data Mean Something
3. One Method/One Job
4. Don't Repeat Yourself
5. Don't Hardcode Things That Are Likely To Change

Principle 1. Use Good Names

- The name of a thing is a first clue to the reader about what the thing means.
 - often, it's the only clue 😞
- Use good names for
 - constants
 - variables
 - functions/methods
 - data types

Use Good Names for Variables and Types

```
const t : number  
const l : number
```



```
const temp : number  
const loc  : number
```



```
const temp : Temperature  
const loc  : SensorLocation
```

Use Good Names for Functions and Methods

```
function checkLine (line) : boolean
```



```
function lineIsTooLong (line) : boolean
```

Use Good Names for Functions and Methods

- Use noun-like names for functions or methods that return values, e.g.

```
const c = new Circle(initRadius)
const a = c.diameter()
```

- not:

```
const a = c.calculateDiameter()
```

- Reserve verb-like names for functions or methods that perform actions, like

```
table1.addItem(student1, grade1)
```

Principle 2. Make Your Data Mean Something

- You need to do three things:
 1. Decide **what part** of the information in the "real world" needs to be represented as data
 2. Decide **how** that information needs to be represented as data
 3. Document how to **interpret** the data in your computer as information about the real world

Example:

- Right now I am wearing a red shirt, and I've decided I need to represent that fact in my program.
- How should I represent that in my program?
- We need to decide:
 - how to represent shirts (including their color)
 - how to represent colors
 - how to represent **my** shirt

We need to write something like this:


```
type Shirt = {  
    /** the color of the shirt */  
    color: Color  
}
```

```
type Color = { ... } // `red` is defined in here
```

```
/** My shirt */  
let myShirt: Shirt
```


```
myShirt.color = red
```

The Big Picture



- How do we know that these are connected?
- Answer: we have to **write it down**.
- In our Typescript infrastructure, we do that with the comments.


Another Example: what do (x,y) mean?



- The center?
- Upper-left-hand corner?
- Does y grow in the up or down direction?
- And what about the units?
 - (Pixels? Scaled pixels? Something else?)

Another example: What does an object represent?

- What does an object of class Car represent?
 - a model of car (e.g. Dodge, Ford, Toyota)?
 - a particular car (my 2019 Toyota, VIN = 456789)?
- What does an object of class Wheel represent?
 - a model of tire? (Goodyear GoodGrips14)
 - a particular tire? (Goodyear GoodGrips14 SN = 345678)
- What does "has" represent?
 - depends on what Car and Wheel represent
 - this may affect the navigability of the association
 - (can you get from a car object to the associated wheels? Can you get from a wheel to the car that it's on?)



Principle 3: One Method/One Job

- Each class, and each method of that class, should have one job, and only one job
- If your method has more than one job, split it into 2 methods. Why?
 - You might want one part but not the other
 - It's easier to test a method that has only one job
- You call both of them if you need to.
 - or write a single method that calls them both
- Same thing for classes.

Principle 4: Don't Repeat Yourself

- If you have some quantity that you use more than once, give it a name and use the name.
- That way you only need to change it in one place!
- And of course you should use a good name
- If you have some task that you do in many places, make it into a procedure.
- If the tasks are slightly different, turn the differences into parameters.

A real example

```
function testequal <T> (testname: string, actualVal: T, correctVal: T) {  
    test(testname, () => { expect(actualVal).toBe(correctVal) })  
}
```

```
describe('tests for countOfLocalMorks', function () {  
    testequal('empty crew', countOfLocalMorks(ship1), 0)  
    testequal('just Mork', countOfLocalMorks(ship2), 1)  
    testequal('just Mindy', countOfLocalMorks(ship3), 0)  
    testequal('two Morks', countOfLocalMorks(ship4), 2)  
    testequal('drone has no Morks', countOfLocalMorks(drone1), 0)  
})
```

Principle 5: Don't Hardcode Things That Are Likely To Change

- General strategy: If there something that might change, give it a name
 - if it's not already a "thing", refactor to make it a "thing"
- Let's look at a couple of examples.

Replace magic numbers with good names

- Replace magic numbers with good names

```
const salesprice = netPrice * 1.06
```



```
const salesTaxRate = 1.06  
const salesPrice = netPrice * salesTaxRate
```

Example

- Imagine we are computing income tax in a state where there are four rates:
 - One on incomes less than \$10,000
 - One on incomes between \$10,000 and \$20,000
 - One on incomes between \$20,000 and \$50,000
 - One on incomes greater than \$50,000
- You might write something like

You might write something like

```
function grossTax(income: number): number {  
  if ((0 <= income) && (income <= 10000)) { return 0 }  
  else if ((10000 < income) && (income <= 20000))  
  { return 0.10 * (income - 10000) }  
  else if ((20000 < income) && (income <= 50000))  
  { return 1000 + 0.20 * (income - 20000) }  
  else { return 7000 + 0.25 * (income - 50000) }  
}
```

- What might change?
 - The boundaries of the tax brackets might change
 - The number of brackets might change

So let's represent our data differently

```
// defines the tax bracket for income lower < income <= upper.  
// if upper is undefined, then lower < income (no upper bound)  
type TaxBracket = {  
  lower: number,  
  upper: number | undefined,  
  base : number  
  rate : number  
}  
  
const brackets : TaxBracket[] = [  
  {lower:0,      upper:10000, base:0,    rate:0},  
  {lower:10000, upper:20000, base:0,    rate:0.10},  
  {lower:20000, upper:50000, base:1000, rate:0.20},  
  {lower:50000, upper: undefined, base:7000, rate:0.25}  
]
```

And now it's easy to rewrite our function

```
// defines the incomes covered by a bracket
function isInBracket(income:number, bracket:TaxBracket) : boolean {
  if (bracket.upper === undefined)
  { return (bracket.lower <= income) }
  else
  { return ((bracket.lower <= income) && (income < bracket.upper))}
}

function income2bracket(income: number, brackets: Bracket[]): Bracket {
  return brackets.find(b0 => isInBracket(income, b0))
}

function taxByBracket(income:number,bracket:TaxBracket) : number {
  return bracket.base + bracket.rate * (income - bracket.lower)
}

function grossTax2 (income:number, brackets: TaxBracket[] ) : number {
  return taxByBracket(income,income2bracket(income,brackets))
}
```

Review: Learning Objectives for this Lesson

- You should now be able to:
 - Describe the purpose of our best practices
 - List 5 principles for designing readable code, with examples
 - Identify some violations of the practices and suggest ways to mitigate them

Additional Material

Examples of Design at the Structural Scale



- Object-Oriented
- Pipeline
- Pipeline + Database
- Layered

Object-Oriented Architecture

- The entities in the program correspond to entities in the real world.
- Example: a library system might have classes for
 - A holding (several books, eg: “7 copies of Moby-Dick”)
 - An individual item (“copy #3 of Moby-Dick”)
 - A card-holder (“Avery Fischer, library card #12345, ...”)
 - A borrowing (“Avery Fischer borrowed copy #3 of Moby-Dick on 9/1/22”)


Pipeline Architecture

- The pieces correspond to stages in the transformation of data in the system
- Good for complex straight-line processes, e.g. image processing




Pipeline + Database

- Stages in the pipeline share data through a database




Layered Architecture

- The pieces correspond to level of concern.
- Each layer depends on services from the layer or layers below



Layered Architecture (contd)

- Typical organization for operating systems
- Layers communicate through procedure calls and callbacks (sometimes called "up-calls")



Design at the Interaction Scale

- Roughly what's typically called "Design Patterns"
- We'll talk about some OO Design Patterns in the next lecture.
- But we'll see interaction-scale patterns in many domains, not just OOP.